

1 **GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP**  
2 ROBERT V. PRONGAY (SBN 270796)  
3 rprongay@glancylaw.com  
4 1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100  
5 Los Angeles, CA 90067  
6 Telephone: (310) 201-9150  
7 Facsimile: (310) 432-1495

8 *Liaison Counsel for Lead Plaintiff*  
9 *Arkansas Teacher Retirement*  
10 *System and the Proposed Class*

11 **LABATON KELLER SUCHAROW LLP**  
12 LAUREN A. ORMSBEE (*pro hac vice*)  
13 lormsbee@labaton.com  
14 140 Broadway  
15 New York, NY 10005  
16 Telephone: (212) 907-0700  
17 Facsimile: (212) 818-0477

18 *Counsel for Lead Plaintiff*  
19 *Arkansas Teacher Retirement System*  
20 *and Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class*

21 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
22 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

23 LESLIE LILIEN, Individually and on  
24 Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

25 Plaintiff,

26 v.

27 OLAPLEX HOLDINGS, INC., et al.,

28 Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-cv-08395-SVW(SKx)

CLASS ACTION

**~~[PROPOSED REVISED]~~ ORDER  
APPROVING PLAN OF  
ALLOCATION**

//

//

1 THIS MATTER having come before the Court for a hearing on December  
2 1, 2025, at 1:30 p.m., on the motion of Lead Plaintiff Arkansas Teacher  
3 Retirement System, on behalf of itself and all members of the Settlement Class,  
4 for final approval of the proposed Settlement of the Action and approval of the  
5 proposed Plan of Allocation for the distribution of the proceeds of the Settlement;  
6 the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and  
7 otherwise being fully informed;

8 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND  
9 DECREED that:

10 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation  
11 and Agreement of Settlement, dated as of August 1, 2025, ECF No. 224-3 (the  
12 “Stipulation”), and all capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the  
13 same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

14 2. Pursuant to and in accordance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of  
15 Civil Procedure, this Court finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was  
16 directed to Persons who are Settlement Class Members, who could be identified  
17 with reasonable effort, advising them of the proposed Plan of Allocation and of  
18 their right to object thereto, and a full and fair opportunity was accorded to  
19 Persons who are Settlement Class Members to be heard with respect to the Plan of  
20 Allocation.

21 3. There were no objections to the Plan of Allocation.

22 4. The Court finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation for the  
23 distribution of the Net Settlement Fund that is set forth in the Notice of Pendency  
24 of Class Action, Proposed Settlement, and Motion for Attorneys’ Fees and  
25 Expenses (the “Notice”) provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to  
26 allocate the Net Settlement Fund among eligible Settlement Class Members.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

5. The Court finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation, as set forth in the Notice, is fair, reasonable, and adequate and the Court approves the Plan of Allocation.

SO ORDERED this 5<sup>th</sup> day of December 2025.



THE HONORABLE STEPHEN V. WILSON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE